

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION**

STEVE N. ANDERSON, #1248902,)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	3:06-CV-2267-M
)	ECF
NATHANIEL QUARTERMAN,)	
Texas Department of Criminal Justice,)	
Correctional Institutions Div.,)	
Respondent.)	

ORDER OF THE COURT ON THE FOREGOING RECOMMENDATION

Considering the record in this case and the above recommendation, and pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 22(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 2253 (c), the Court hereby finds and orders:

IFP STATUS:

- () the party appealing is GRANTED *in forma pauperis* status on appeal.
(X) the party appealing is proceeding *in forma pauperis*.
() the party appealing is DENIED *in forma pauperis* status on appeal
for the following reasons:
() the Court certifies, pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 24(a) and 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (a)(3), that
the appeal is not taken in good faith. In support of this finding, the Court adopts and
incorporates by reference the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation entered
in this case on _____. Based upon the Magistrate Judge's findings,
this Court finds that the appeal presents no legal points of arguable merit and is therefore
frivolous. *See Harkins v. Roberts*, 935 F. Supp. 871, 873 (S. D. Miss. 1996) (citing
Howard v. King, 707 F. 2d 215, 219-20 (5th Cir. 1983)).
() the person appealing is not a pauper;
() the person appealing has not complied with the requirements of Rule 24 of the Federal
Rules of Appellate Procedure and /or 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1) as ordered by the Court.
(See Notice of Deficiency and Order entered on _____).

COA:

- () a Certificate of Appealability is GRANTED on the following issues: _____
(X) a Certificate of Appealability is DENIED. The Court hereby adopts and incorporates by
reference the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation filed in this case on April 9,
2007, which were accepted by the District Court on May 15, 2007, in support of its finding that
Petitioner has failed to demonstrate that reasonable jurists would find it debatable whether the
district court was correct in finding that the habeas corpus petition is barred by the statute of
limitations. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484-85 (2000).

SIGNED this 19th date of June, 2007.



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE